Docket No: 294550U\$

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form									
Application No.: 10/588,703 First Named Applicant: Jean DIJON Examiner: Marianne PADGETT Art Unit: 1715 Status of Application: Non-Final Office Action									
Tentative Participants: (1) Examiner Padgett		(2)	Jacob <u>Do</u>	ughty (Reg. No	. 46,67	1)		
(3)	••	(4)							
Proposed Date of Interview: July 21, 2011 Proposed Time: 1:30 PM (1) [] Telephonic (2) [XXXX] Personal (3) [] Video Conference Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: [] YES [XXXX] NO If yes, provide brief description:									
									Issues To Be Discussed
Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/Fig. #s	Prior Art		Disc	cussed	Agr	eed	Not	Agreed
(1) Enablement	1, 2, 6-9, 13-17, 21, and 23-25			[]	Ι	1	ſ]
(2) Written Description	I, 2, 6-9, 13-17, 21, and 23-25			[]	Ι]	[]
(3) <u>Indefiniteness</u>	21 and 24			Ι]	ľ]	[]
(4) §102/§103	1, 2, 6, 8, 9, 13, 14, 16, 17, 21, 23, and 24	ĭda Lee		[]	[]	Ţ]
[XXXX] Continuation Sheet Brief Description of Argun See Continuation Sheet									
An interview was conducted NOTE: This form should be comples 713.01).	eted by applicant and su	bmitted to th	e examiner	in adv	/ance of	the int	ervi e w	' (see l	
This application will not be interview. Therefore, appl soon as possible	icant is advised to file a s	tatement of 1	he subst a nc	ce of ti	nis interv	vritten view (3°	record 7 CFR	i of th	is (b)) as
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature) (Examiner/SPE Signature)									

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form Continuation Sheet (U.S.P.A. No. 10/588,703)

Issues To Be Discussed (cont'd)

Issue	Rejection	Claim	Prior Art Ida Lee and Lee			
(5)	§103	25				
(6)	§103	7 and 15	Ida Lee and Choi			
(7)	§102/§103	1, 2, 6, 8, and 9	Gao			
(8)	ODP	1, 2, 6-9, 13-17, 21, and 23-25	U.S.P.A. No. 10/546,284, Ida Lee, and Gao			
(9)	ODP	1, 2, 6, 8, 9, 13, 14, 16, 17, 21, and 23-25	U.S.P. No. 7,544,547, Ida Lee, and Gao			
(10)	ODP	1, 2, 6-9, 13-17, 21, and 23-25	U.S.P.A. No. 11/915,238, Ida Lee, and Gao			

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented (cont'd)

Focus on prior art rejections (issues (4) to (7)). Possible amendments to claims 1, 13, and 21 to specify:

- (1) each of the layers of catalytic material is formed as a "continuous film";
- (2) the droplet shaped bodies are formed by performing a heat treatment at "200C-500C"; and/or
- (3) the waiting phases during deposition of the catalytic material are longer than the deposition phases.